

STATEMENT

ON EU ASYLUM AND AID POLICY TO ERITREA

March 31, 2015

We observe with grave concern the stream of official assertions by governments and official representatives, which suggest that there is a real prospect of “change in Eritrea.” This is being used to justify changes in official policy towards Eritrean asylum seekers that affect their protection. We make the following observations:

1. A recent [report by the UK Home Office](#) suggests change in policy in Eritrea, referring to a controversial [Danish Report](#) that has fallen into disrepute in Denmark.
2. The Danish report has been the source of much controversy in Denmark, after the main source and named source of the report, Prof Dr Gaim Kibreab declared [he had been misquoted](#) and [misled](#) and he subsequently demanded that all citations should be removed from the report. Prof Kibreab has also criticized the content of the UK report in a commentary of 25 March 2015.
3. The Danish media has widely reported that officials were bribed to change the content of the report (with promises of pay-rises) – an allegation officially denied by the Danish Immigration Service. The officials concerned have subsequently resigned, after denouncing the methodology and the conclusions of the report. There were further accusations that the report was politically driven and that the Ministry of Justice “provided guidelines on the report’s content and conclusions [in order to tighten the practice in Eritrean asylum cases](#)”.
4. The report has not been officially withdrawn, but its conclusions are no longer used as a reference for policy in Denmark. The immigration service has [announced](#) that illegal exit and desertion from the national service in Eritrea remain grounds for granting asylum in Denmark. However this has not been communicated in English by the Danish authorities and the Report by the British Home Office does not highlight this crucial change in policy.
5. The Report by the UK Home Office on Guidelines for Eritrean Asylum-seekers makes 48 references to the Danish Report, advancing and building on the conclusions of the discredited Report. It conceals the serious flaws of the Danish Report and obscures the criticism the report has met. Its intent appears to be to mislead, rather than serve the truth.
6. The Danish Report, which underpins the report by the UK Home Office, has been widely critiqued for its content, by, among others, [UNHCR](#), [Human Rights Watch](#), [Europe External Policy Advisors](#), [Stop Slavery in Eritrea](#), [Asmarino](#), and the Report by members of the Eritrean Diaspora “[Listen to our Agony](#)”.

7. The EU Commissioner Neven Mimica announced that a substantial bilateral aid package of € 312 million has been prepared to support the Eritrean regime – an almost threefold increase from 2009, despite the deteriorating human rights situation. [News reports](#) have suggested that the aid would be provided in exchange for promises that Eritrea would curb migration. This is despite the fact that the EU's policy cites human rights as an essential element for development cooperation. Human rights groups have [protested](#), pointing to the devastating human rights record in Eritrea – the central driver of refugee and asylum migration.

8. A [Report](#) from the Norwegian Immigration Service and a debate in the [Canadian Subcommittee on Human Rights](#) have followed similar lines, suggesting that the situation in Eritrea has changed. At the same time the Chairman of the UN Commission of Inquiry on Eritrea, Mike Smith, [pointed out](#) in the [oral debate](#) of the interim findings of the Commission regarding the conditions in Eritrea (such as the national service) that the Eritrea government has refused to give the UN Commission on Inquiry permission to visit Eritrea. Furthermore, a recent [mission](#) from Switzerland concluded that there was no evidence of change on the ground.

9. It is clear that there is no evidence that the government of Eritrea has implemented any change in its human rights regime, including its conscription practices. Instead it has given hearsay promises about what it may do so in the future—promises that are vigorously disputed in great depth and detail by the testimonies of refugees who have fled the country within the past twelve months.

In light of the above we urge that:

1. Eritrea gives full access to the UN Commission of Inquiry on Eritrea to carry out its mandate.
2. All governments observe the basic customary principle of non-refoulement and implement asylum procedures in line with UNHCR guidelines. State-parties to the Refugee Convention should refrain from discriminating against and singling out of Eritrean refugees as a group and ensure their individual protection based on international refugee law and fair domestic asylum policies and procedures.
3. The European Commission puts on hold its preparations for an aid package to the Eritrean government until such time that the UN Commission of Inquiry on Eritrea has been given full and unfettered access to Eritrea so that it can carry out its investigation and has presented its conclusions. The EU must act in accordance with its own legal provisions that identify human rights as an essential element of EU development policy.

Signed by:

Dr. Anna Arnone, Research associate SOAS, University of London, UK

Prof. Dr. Victoria Bernal, Professor of Anthropology, University of California, Irvine, USA

Dr. David Bozzini, visiting fellow, The Graduate Center CUNY, New York, USA

Dan Connell, Visiting Researcher, African Studies Center, Boston University, USA

Dr. Bettina Conrad, Germany

Dr. Sara Rich Dorman, University of Edinburgh, UK

Meron Estefanos, Journalist, Sweden

Prof. Dr. Andebrhan Welde Giorgis, Former Ambassador of Eritrea to the EU, Professor Vesalius College, Brussels

Dr. Tricia Redeker Hepner, Associate Professor of Anthropology and Director, Disasters, Displacement, and Human Rights Program, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

Dr. Nicole Hirt, Senior Research Fellow, GIGA German Institute of Global and Area Studies, Hamburg, Germany

Noel Joseph, Director of Eritreans for Human and Democratic Rights, UK

Prof. Dr. Gaim Kibreab, Research Professor and Director of MSc Refugee Studies, London South Bank University, UK

Selam Kidane, Director Release Eritrea, UK

Dr. Daniel R. Mekonnen, Senior Legal Advisor, International Law and Policy (ILPI), Switzerland

Antony Otieno Ong'ayo, Researcher, International Development Studies, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Martin Plaut, Former Africa Editor, BBC News and Senior Research Fellow, Institute of Commonwealth Studies, University of London, UK

Dr. Amanda Poole, Associate professor of Anthropology, Indiana University of Pennsylvania, USA

Prof. Dr. Mirjam van Reisen, Professor International Social Responsibility, Tilburg University, Member of the Dutch Government Council on Foreign Affairs, The Netherlands

Dr. Jennifer Riggan, Associate Professor of international Studies, Arcadia, University, Glenside PA, USA

Prof. Dr. Kjetil Tronvoll, Professor of Peace and Conflict Studies, Bjørknes University College

Simon Weldehaimanot, Immigration Attorney, Oakland, California, USA

Prof. Dr. Tekle M. Woldemikael, Professor of Sociology, Chapman University, Orange, USA